

---

Good evening,

I am contacting you this evening to convey the strength of my feeling concerning Draft Enfield Local Plan 2019-2039 and the proposed development to be built on Green Belt land on Vicarage Farm.

I have been a resident within EN2 for most of my (40+ years!) life, living with parents at an address on Enfield Road then in later life living on Windmill Hill and then to my current home on Cotswold Way with my wife and two young sons.

My overriding opinion of the area in question, an area I of course know very well; is that it is made special by the amount of green space we have, a space which brings a colour and charm to this part of North London so lacking in many neighbouring locations.

I feel this area of Green Belt land should be considered by the council as sacrosanct and that the original implementation of the policies surrounding such land remain as relevant today as they did upon introduction around London in the 1930's.

I am highly concerned about the logistics of such a development and the strain it would place on the road infrastructure, local hospitals – which have already been stressed with several services (most notably perhaps A&E) removed from Chase Farm back in 2013, the emergency services and schools.

It is my belief that the properties that are proposed will not provide 'affordable housing' which the Council has a target to build but instead will be aimed at higher income individuals and to the benefit of the developers coffers over the needs of those families and individuals affected by the housing shortage.

The addition of thousands of homes, built on land which improves our environment, air quality and well-being will add congestion, pollutants and noise. I would suggest such a development also is in direct conflict with the Mayor of London's Environmental Strategy.

I wish to make the following specific points of objection to the draft local plan:

1. I wish to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the

Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.

3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt. 4. I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.

I thank you for your time.