

---

Dear Sirs,

I have been made aware that the local authorities are actively promoting new housing construction plans, which will put in jeopardy Enfield's Green Belt, the vast green space, home to thousands of wild species, which Enfield residents are currently privileged to have on their doorstep and greatly enjoy. Therefore, I am writing to object to the Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279, as well as Policy SA52 page 372, in accordance with which part of Rammey Marsh is proposed to be removed from the Green Belt, which I personally find completely unacceptable.

I would also like to object to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321. In my opinion, such buildings are totally unnecessary, as they can easily be replaced by low-rise buildings performing the same functions.

I do hope that my opinion and the opinion of thousands of local residents will get heard. Making such crucial decisions should involve people having their say. Destroying the livelihood of thousands of wild animals will have an impact on the quality of life of everyone in the area. Thinking about tomorrow - not just today! - is paramount.