Dear Enfield Council planners and Councillors

Following your application for building in green belt land in Enfield, I am writing to object.

In particular, I object to Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; Policy SA62 page 372; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the designation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

How can the council even think about building on this land, this rare and valuable landscape much needed as Green Belt in Enfield?

Removing part of Rammey Marsh would, in my opinion, be detrimental to wildlife and the general public and therefore I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.

While I understand the need for housing in Enfield, the option to build 3,000 new houses in the area you are trying to call Chase Park is unthinkable.

1. This is Green Belt for good reasons
2. The area is congested enough already. Perhaps Covid has caused councillors to forget the number of times the roads are gridlocked during peak periods.
3. Covid has also shown us how important fresh air and exercise are for all of us, for out physical and mental well being. Why would you now takeaway the very places where we can get fresh air and exercise?

Another 3000 homes at Crews Hill, with potentially up to 7500 leading up to the M25? Seriously?

I totally reject the loss of Green Belt and demand that you instead build affordable homes where they will bring regeneration to deprived areas. Leave these parts of Enfield alone.

Preserve the Green. Regenerate the Grey.

Yours sincerely