

---

I am writing to object to the following policies,

1. SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11;
2. SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10;
3. Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364;
4. Policy SA54, page 374;
5. Policy SA62 page 372;
6. Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279

All of the above propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. All of these sites are important local Green Belt land and form part of the history of Enfield Chase, a unique area within the south east of England.

In particular I would like to mention the policy SP PL9, as mentioned above. This policy/ concept plan proposes extensive building of housing in the area known as Crews Hill, and would, if the plans are correct, mean the loss of not only Green Belt area we all need to protect, but also a popular and well supported golf club, Crews Hill Golf Club, a club which offers golf to all of the local community.

Being both a pastime and passion for all involved, golf as a sport provides excellent exercise for those taking part, and is beneficial on both a physical and mental level, vital and much discussed aspect's of modern life currently. The membership represents all age groups as well as all ethnic and social economic backgrounds.

In addition the site provides an ecological safe haven for our hard pressed wildlife.

The course was designed by one of the greats of the game, H S Colt, whose courses are recognised in this country and abroad as true "gems". This is a rare and valuable asset for the local area which, if lost, could never be recovered, causing permanent harm to the local Green Belt area, but also to the nature of this area of the Borough. Also please lets not forget that another local golf course, approx 1 mile away, has recently been closed, the course at Whitewebbs, forcing the local residents to travel further afield to play, adding to pollution levels.

I look forward to your comments.