

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
KINGS BENCH DIVISION
ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE**

CLAIM No: KB-2024-003851

BETWEEN

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

Claimant

-V-

NOJUS SARTASKAS

Defendant

**BREACH OF CAR CRUISING INJUNCTION
CONTEMPT OF COURT APPLICATION HEARING BUNDLE
FOR 25 AUGUST 2026**

Index

	Page(s)
Section 1: 1. KB-2024-003851- Injunction Order 5.12.26 - sealed 22.12.26	
1 1. KB-2024-003851- Injunction Order 5.12.26 - sealed 22.12.26	5 - 15
Section 2: 1.1 Witness Statement BK7 (Service Order) - 09.01.2026	
1 Witness Statement BK7 (Service Order) - 09.01.2026	17 - 18
Section 3: Witness Statement Balbinder Kaur (BK8) – 21 April 2026.	
1 1.2 Witness Statement BK8 (D4-9 Service) - 21.4.2026 (Final)	20 - 22
Section 4: Witness Statement Balbinder Kaur (BK9) – 29 April 2026	
1 1.3 Witness Statement BK9 (Relief from Sanctions) - 29.4.26 (Final)	24 - 31
Section 5: Witness Statement – Martin Rattigan – 29 April 2025	
1 1.4 Witness Statement-Martin Rattigan-MR6-29.4.26 (Final)	33 - 36
Section 6: Order HH Mrs Justice Heather Williams DBE– 3 June 2026	
1 1.5 KB-2024-003851-Order 3 June 2026	38 - 40
Section 7: Application for Contempt of Court N600 - 3 August 2026	
1 2. N600_1024 - Application for Contempt 3.8.26 (D10 -Nojus SARTAUSKAS) - Signed	42 - 52
Section 8: Witness statements of Ashley DAWES-BEILBY - 2 August 2026	
1 2.1 PC DAWES-BEILBY STATEMENT SARTAUSKAS HIGH COURT INJUNCTION	54 - 58
Section 9: Witness Statement of PC Kayleigh SOUSTER - 1 August 2026	
1 3. PC SOUSTER WITNESS STATEMENT HIGH COURT INJUNCTION	60
Section 10: Witness Statements of PCS Edward BAKER-CLOSE - 2 August 2026	
1 4. WITNESS STATEMENT PC BAKER INJUNCTION ARREST	62
Section 11: Witness Statement of PC Alfio Arcidiacono - 2 August 2026	
1 5. WITNESS STATEMENT PSCO ARCIDIACONO	64
Section 12: Order of HH Mr Justice Mould – 3 August 2026	

1	6. KB 2024 003851 Sartauskas order 3 8 26	66 - 68
---	---	---------

Section 13: Draft Remand Order - LB Enfield -v- Sartauskas 3 August 2026

1	7. 2026.08.03 - Draft Remand Order - LB Enfield -v- Sartauskas	70 - 71
---	--	---------

Section 1
1. KB-2024-003851- Injunction Order 5.12.26 - sealed 22.12.26
Index

	Page(s)
1 1. KB-2024-003851- Injunction Order 5.12.26 - sealed 22.12.26	5 - 15

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
KING'S BENCH DIVISION

BEFORE ROBERT PALMER KC (sitting as a Deputy Judge of the High Court)

In the matter of an Application for an Injunction under section 222 of the Local Government Act 1972 and section 130 of the Highways Act 1972

BETWEEN:



KB-2024-003851

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

Claimant

- and -

- 1. Persons Unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 (attached) of which some of those present engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.**
- 2. Persons unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.**
- 3. Persons Unknown promoting, organising and/or publicising (by any means whatsoever) any gathering between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving within London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1.**
- 4. ALIN HUSSEIN**
- 5. MUHAMMAD AKSAHIN**

6. SAM HOUGH
7. SERGIU BOGDAN
8. ALEXANDRU PIRTOACA
9. KLODJAN GURI

Defendants

INJUNCTION ORDER

PENAL NOTICE

**IF YOU DO NOT COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER YOU MAY BE HELD IN
CONTEMPT OF COURT AND IMPRISONED OR FINED OR YOUR ASSETS
MAY BE SEIZED**

*If you do not understand anything in this order, you should go to a Solicitor,
Legal Advice Centre or a Citizens Advice Bureau.*

Any party can apply to the court for this order to be varied or discharged. But you must obey the order unless it is varied or discharged by the Court.

**IF YOU THE WITHIN NAMED PERSONS UNKNOWN DO NOT COMPLY WITH THIS
ORDER YOU MAY BE HELD TO BE IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND IMPRISONED
OR FINED, OR YOUR ASSETS MAY BE SEIZED.**

**ANY OTHER PERSON WHO KNOWS OF THIS ORDER AND DOES ANYTHING
WHICH HELPS OR PERMITS THE DEFENDANTS TO BREACH THE TERMS OF THIS
ORDER MAY ALSO BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND MAY BE
IMPRISONED, FINED OR HAVE THEIR ASSETS SEIZED**

IMPORTANT:-

NOTICE TO DEFENDANTS

This Order prevents you from doing the acts set out in the Order. You should read it all carefully. You are advised to consult a Solicitor as soon as possible. You have a right to ask the Court to vary or discharge this Order;

UPON an Order having been made Mrs Justice Hill dated 6 December 2024 imposing an interim injunction against the First to Third Defendants pursuant to section 222 of the Local Government Act 1972 and section 130 of the Highways Act 1972 with a power of arrest (“**the original Order**”)

AND UPON the Fourth to Ninth Defendants having been added as named Defendants to the interim injunction on various dates following applications for contempt of court in respect of each of them

AND UPON the Claimant’s application for review pursuant to paragraph 11 of the original Order

AND UPON the Claimant having given notice of this hearing to the Fourth to Ninth Defendants and those potentially affected by this injunction in the manner set out in the witness statement of Balbinder Kaur dated 11 November 2025

AND UPON the court considering the bundle of documents, witness statements and exhibits in the application to the Order and power of arrest made by Mrs Justice Hill on 6 December 2024, the fifth witness statement of Mr Martin Rattigan dated 3 November 2025, and the sixth witness statement of Balbinder Kaur dated 11 November 2025

AND UPON hearing Counsel for the Claimant and the Ninth Defendant in person, the Fourth to Eighth Defendants not attending or being represented

AND UPON the court considering it just and convenient, and proportionate, to grant the injunction and power of arrest in the following terms;

AND UPON the court being satisfied that there have been no material changes in circumstances so as to warrant the discharge of the Injunction as against Persons

Unknown

AND UPON THE COURT BEING SATISFIED for the purposes of section 27(3) of the Police and Justice Act 2006 that there is a significant risk of harm to a person or persons from the conduct prohibited by this Order, that harm being the risk of personal injury or death from activities prohibited in this order, and that a power of arrest should therefore be granted

IT IS ORDERED THAT

1. **IT IS FORBIDDEN** for the Defendants to participate in the activities set out in Schedule 2 to this Order ("**the Prohibited Activities**", any one of which are referred to as "**a Prohibited Activity**") between the hours of 3 pm and 7 am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the Claimant's local government area ("**Enfield**"), the boundaries of which are delineated in green on a map attached to this Order at Schedule 1;
2. **IT IS FORBIDDEN** for the Defendants to participate in a gathering of 2 or more persons between the hours of 3 pm and 7 am within Enfield with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in a Prohibited Activity;
3. **IT IS FORBIDDEN** for the Defendants to promote, organise or publicise by any means and manner whatsoever a Prohibited Activity in a gathering of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present in the gathering that is promoted, organised or publicised will engage in a Prohibited Activity within Enfield;
4. A power of arrest, pursuant to the section 27 of the Police and Justice Act 2006 shall apply to paragraphs 1 and 2 above, in relation to any person participating in a Prohibited Activity who is the driver of, or a passenger in, any Motor-Vehicle as defined in paragraph 4 of Schedule 2 below to which paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of Schedule 2 to this Order apply. For the avoidance of doubt, the power of arrest granted by this Order does not apply to any other person participating in a

Prohibited Activity within the meaning of Schedule 2 to this Order;

5. Personal service of this injunction is dispensed with pursuant to CPR Rule 81.4(2)(d);
6. Pursuant to CPR Rules 6.1, 6.27 and 81.4(2)(d) the Claimant shall be permitted to serve this Order and power of arrest, by the alternative methods specified at Schedule 3 to this Order in respect of the First to Third Defendants; and the time for serving the same shall be extended, pursuant to CPR Rule 7.6 until 11.59 pm on 19 December 2025. In respect of the Fourth to Ninth Defendants, the Claimant shall be permitted to serve this Order and power of arrest by way of email to each Defendant or their legal representatives, as applicable, by 11:59 pm on 19 December 2025.
7. The deemed date of service of the Order and power of arrest shall be the date of the relevant certificate of service on completion of the steps described in paragraph 6. For the avoidance of doubt, the original Order and powers of arrest made by Mrs Justice Hill made on 6 December 2025 shall remain in force until service of this Order and power of arrest has been effected, at which point the original Order shall be automatically discharged without further order of the Court;
8. Any person served with a copy of, or affected by, this Order may apply to the Court to vary or discharge it, on 48 hours' written notice to the Claimant;
9. This Order and power of arrest shall come into force at 12.00 am on 20 December 2025 in respect of all Defendants and thereafter shall remain in force for one year, until 11.59 pm on 20 December 2026 in respect of the First to Third Defendants and 11.59 pm on 20 December 2031 in respect of the Fourth to Ninth Defendants unless previously varied or discharged by the Court; and it will come into effect on that day provided that the steps taken to serve the Order set out in Schedule 3 paragraphs 1(i) and (iii) have been completed even if the steps set out in Schedule 3 paragraphs 1(ii) and (iv) have not been completed but the Claimant must complete those steps (set out in paragraphs 1(ii) and (iv)) by **4 pm on 19 January 2026**;
10. This matter shall be listed for review in respect of the First to Third Defendants on

the first available date after **23 November 2026** and in any event no later than **18 December 2026**, with a time-estimate of **2 hours**, and the following directions shall apply:

- (1) Any person claiming to be affected by this Order may file and serve evidence but shall do so not later than 4 pm on **23 October 2026**;
- (2) Any person claiming to be affected by this Order may appear and make submissions in person or through counsel at the hearing;

11. No order as to costs.

Dated 5 December 2025

If you do not fully understand this Order you should go to a solicitor, Legal Advice Centre or Citizens' Advice Bureau.


Name and Address of Claimant's Solicitor

Legal Services,
The Council of the London Borough of Enfield,
Silver Street,
Enfield EN1 3XA

Telephone: 020 8132 0091

Email: Balbinder.Kaur@Enfield.gov.uk.

SCHEDULE 1



SCHEDULE 2

“Prohibited Activity”

1. “Prohibited Activity” means a gathering of the drivers of two or more Motor-Vehicles (as defined in paragraph 4 below) on the public highway or at any place to which the public have access within the Claimant’s local government area (**“Enfield”**) as shown delineated in green on the map at Schedule 1, at which any person, whether or not a driver, performs any of the activities set out at para.2 below, so as, by such conduct, to cause any of the following:
 - (i) excessive noise;
 - (ii) danger to other road users (including pedestrians);
 - (iii) damage or the risk of damage to private property;
 - (iv) any nuisance to another person not participating in the Car-Cruise.

2. The activities referred to at para.1, above, are:
 - (i) driving at excessive speed, or otherwise dangerously;
 - (ii) driving in convoy;
 - (iii) racing against other motor vehicles;
 - (iv) performing stunts in motor vehicles;
 - (v) sounding horns or playing amplified music;
 - (vi) revving engines;
 - (vii) obstructing any other road-user.

“Participating in a Prohibited Activity”

3. A person participates in a Prohibited Activity whether or not he or she is the driver of, or passenger in, a Motor-Vehicle (as defined in paragraph 4 below), if he or she is present and performs or encourages any other person to perform any activity, to which paras.1-2 above apply, and the term “participating in a Prohibited Activity” shall be interpreted accordingly.

“Motor vehicle”

4. In this Order, the term “motor vehicle” means any vehicle on wheels, having its own motor for use on streets or public highways or at any place to which the public have access including but not limited to car parks, waste land or other areas within Enfield.

SCHEDULE 3

1. Service of the proceedings and this Order shall be effected by:
 - (i) Maintaining signs informing people of this Order and the area in which it has effect in prominent locations and particularly at its boundaries on major roads (in general accordance with the plan attached herewith) and other areas where Prohibited Activities have been prevalent, namely:
 - The A10;
 - Enfield Retail Park EN1 1TH;
 - The A406;
 - Ravenside Retail Park N18 3HA;
 - Tesco Car Park in Glover Drive N18 3HF;
 - Millmarsh Lane EN3;
 - Riverwalk Road Business Park EN3 7QN;
 - Colosseum Business Park EN1 3FD;
 - ASDA in Edmonton;
 - Morrisons, Southbury Road; and
 - Southbury Leisure Centre
 - (ii) Ensuring that the details of the Injunction and power of arrest are clearly publicised in a newspaper(s) circulating in the Claimant's area;
 - (iii) Posting a copy of the Order and power of arrest, together with the Claim Form, Application Notice and supporting documents relied on, on its website, and publicising them using the Claimant's Facebook page and X account, and requesting that local police publicise them via their Facebook and X accounts;
 - (iv) Taking all reasonable steps to secure the publication of the Order and power of arrest, and/or information about where they and the documents referred to at (iii) above can be obtained, on other relevant social media sites and in motoring and other publications likely to be read by some of the participants including:
 - (a) motorheadz.uk;

- (b) Cruise-Herts Facebook and Instagram pages;
- (c) Herts Car Society Facebook page;
- (d) Herts BMW Owner Club Facebook page;
- (e) Royal Herts Statics Facebook page;
- (f) Static Takeover Facebook page;

and/or in any other like manner as appears to the Claimant to be likely to bring the proceedings and this Order to the attention of persons likely to be affected by it.

2. If the Claimant takes enforcement proceedings against any person in respect of this Order, the Claimant shall, if so directed by the Court, serve on that person:
 - (i) A copy of the Claim Form, Application Notice, witness statements, exhibits and all supporting documents relied on to obtain the Injunction Application and this Order; and
 - (ii) A copy of this Order and power of arrest.
3. The Claimant shall not, however, be required to disclose to the person served the names or addresses of any individual member of the public whose details appear in the evidence served in accordance with this paragraph; and the question of the anonymity of the said complainant shall be reviewed by the Court at which the person served appears if the Claimant applies to commit him or her for contempt of court through breaching this Order.
4. The Court will consider whether to join the person served to the proceedings as a named Defendant and whether to make any further Order.

Section 2
1.1 Witness Statement BK7 (Service Order) - 09.01.2026
Index

	Page(s)
1 Witness Statement BK7 (Service Order) - 09.01.2026	17 - 18

1. Made on behalf of the Claimant
2. Balbinder Kaur
3. Statement No. 7
4. Exhibits:
5. Dated: 9 January 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT THE ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE
KINGS BENCH**

CASE NO: KB-2024-003851

**IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER
S.222 LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 AND
S.130 OF THE HIGHWAYS ACT 1980**

BETWEEN:

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

CLAIMANT

- and -

1. Persons Unknown who participates between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map **Exhibit MR1/1** at which some of those present engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
2. Persons unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, **Map Exhibit MR1/1** with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
3. Persons Unknown promoting organising publicising (by any means whatsoever) any gathering between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving within London Borough of Enfield, **Map Exhibit MR1/1**.

DEFENDANTS

**WITNESS STATEMENT
BALBINDER KAUR**

I, **BALBINDER KAUR** of the London Borough of Enfield, Civic Centre, Silver Street, Enfield, EN1 3XA **WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS:**

1. I make this Witness Statement in support of the Claimant's Part 8 Claim seeking injunctive relief and the Injunction Order dated 6 December 2024 against the Defendants.

2. I am an Assistant Principal Lawyer working in the Legal Services Department of the London Borough of Enfield and am currently assigned to managing this claim on behalf of the council.
3. I confirm that all the following information was available on the London Borough of Enfield's website by approximately **14:16:31 on 7 January 2026** and has been accessible since that time:
 - i. KB Sealed Order dated 5 December 2025
4. The webpage link where this information is posted is:
<https://www.enfield.gov.uk/services/your-council/injunction-order-car-cruising>

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

Signed: B Kaur

Name: Balbinder Kaur

Dated this 9 January 2026

Section 3
Witness Statement Balbinder Kaur (BK8) – 21 April 2026.
Index

	Page(s)
1 1.2 Witness Statement BK8 (D4-9 Service) - 21.4.2026 (Final)	20 - 22

1. Made on behalf of the Claimant
2. Balbinder Kaur
3. Statement No. 8
4. Exhibits: BK5
5. Dated: 21 April 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT THE ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE
KINGS BENCH**

CASE NO: KB-2024-003851

**IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER
S.222 LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 AND
S.130 OF THE HIGHWAYS ACT 1980**

BETWEEN:

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

CLAIMANT

- and -

1. Persons Unknown who participates between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 at which some of those present engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
2. Persons unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
3. Persons Unknown promoting organising publicising (by any means whatsoever) any gathering between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving within London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1.
4. ALIN HUSSEIN (D4)
5. MUHAMMAD AKSAHIN (D5)
6. SAM HOUGH (D6)
7. SERGIU BOGDAN (D7)
8. ALEXANDRU PIRTOACA (D8)
9. KLODJAN GURI (D9)

DEFENDANTS

**WITNESS STATEMENT
BALBINDER KAUR**

I, **BALBINDER KAUR** of the London Borough of Enfield, Civic Centre, Silver Street, Enfield, EN1 3XA **WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS:**

1. I make this Witness Statement in support of the Claimant's Part 8 Claim seeking injunctive relief and the Injunction Order dated 5 December 2025 against the

Defendants.

2. I am a Principal Lawyer employed in the Legal Services Department of the London Borough of Enfield and am currently assigned to managing this claim on behalf of the council.
3. I can confirm that my witness statement of 7 January 2026 omitted to add D4-D9 to the header of the opening page and I apologise to the court for the omission which has been rectified herein and can confirm that all the following information was available on the London Borough of Enfield's website by approximately **14:16:31 on 7 January 2026 following receipt of the sealed order on the same date** and has been accessible since that time:

- i. KB Sealed Order dated 5 December 2025

The webpage link where this information is posted is:

<https://www.enfield.gov.uk/services/your-council/injunction-order-car-cruising>

4. I also confirm that I have now served fourth to ninth Defendants' with a copy of the Order dated 5 December 2025 pursuant to paragraph 6 save that I omitted to serve within the time limit provided and served on 21 April 2026 at 13.11pm once I realised my error on failing to serve timeously for which I apologise to the court. I have duly exhibited herewith **BK5** with confirmation of the email service of the documentation.
5. Unfortunately, the omission to serve D4-D9 arose during the period I was managing matters concerning my mother's dementia illness as she had entered end of life stages at the end of December 2025 to early January 2026 and passed away on 2 February 2026. I have rectified the omission as soon as it came to my attention and D4-D9 have not been prejudiced with the late service as no action has been taken against them concerning the injunction in the interim.

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

Signed: BKaur
Name: Balbinder Kaur
Dated this 21 April 2026

1. Made on behalf of the Claimant
2. Balbinder Kaur
3. Statement No. 8
4. Exhibits: BK5
5. Dated: 21 April 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT THE ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE
KINGS BENCH**

CASE NO: KB-2024-003851

**IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER
S.222 LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 AND
S.130 OF THE HIGHWAYS ACT 1980**

BETWEEN:

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

CLAIMANT

- and -

10. Persons Unknown who participates between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 at which some of those present engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
11. Persons unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
12. Persons Unknown promoting organising publicising (by any means whatsoever) any gathering between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving within London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1.
13. ALIN HUSSEIN (D4)
14. MUHAMMAD AKSAHIN (D5)
15. SAM HOUGH (D6)
16. SERGIU BOGDAN (D7)
17. ALEXANDRU PIRTOACA (D8)
18. KLODJAN GURI (D9)

DEFENDANTS

EXHIBIT BK5

Section 4
Witness Statement Balbinder Kaur (BK9) – 29 April 2026
Index

		Page(s)
1	1.3 Witness Statement BK9 (Relief from Sanctions) - 29.4.26 (Final)	24 - 31

1. Made on behalf of the Claimant
2. Balbinder Kaur
3. Statement No. 9
4. Exhibits: BK6
5. Dated: 29 April 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT THE ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE
KINGS BENCH**

CASE NO: KB-2024-003851

**IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER
S.222 LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 AND
S.130 OF THE HIGHWAYS ACT 1980**

BETWEEN:

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

CLAIMANT

- and -

1. Persons Unknown who participates between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 at which some of those present engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
2. Persons unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
3. Persons Unknown promoting organising publicising (by any means whatsoever) any gathering between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving within London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1.
4. ALIN HUSSEIN (D4)
5. MUHAMMAD AKSAHIN (D5)
6. SAM HOUGH (D6)
7. SERGIU BOGDAN (D7)
8. ALEXANDRU PIRTOACA (D8)
9. KLODJAN GURI (D9)

DEFENDANTS

**WITNESS STATEMENT
BALBINDER KAUR**

I, **BALBINDER KAUR** of the London Borough of Enfield, Civic Centre, Silver Street, Enfield, EN1 3XA **WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS:**

1. I make this Witness Statement in support of the Claimant's application to seek relief from sanctions relating to the wider injunction concerning proceedings which relate to car cruising and in particular the Injunction Order of 5 December 2025 for a period of 12 months.
2. I am a Principal Lawyer employed in the Legal Services Department of the London Borough of Enfield and am currently assigned to managing this claim on behalf of the council.
3. The facts and matters set out in this statement are within my own knowledge unless otherwise stated, and I believe them to be true. Where I refer to information supplied by others, the source of the information is identified; facts and matters derived from other sources are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

BACKGROUND

4. By way of background the Claimant was granted an Injunction Order on 5 December 2025 at a review hearing of the initial injunction order of 6 December 2024 (**Exhibit BK6/1-11**).
5. The Injunction Order and power of arrest required alternative service as follows paraphrased:
 - (a) Alternative methods at Schedule 3 for D1-D3 by 11:59 on 19 December 2025 (paragraph 6).
 - (b) D4-D9 by email to each of Defendant or their legal representatives by 11:59 on 19 December 2025 (paragraph 6).
 - (c) Deemed date of service shall be the date of the relevant certificate of service on completion of the steps at paragraph 6 and for the avoidance of doubt the original Order (6 December 2024) to remain in force until service of this Order and power of arrest (of 5 December 2025) is effected (paragraph 7).
 - (d) The Order and power of arrest to come into force at 12:00am on 20 December 2025 and to remain in force for 1 year until 11:59 pm 20 December 2026 concerning D1-D3 and 11:59 pm 20 December 2031 concerning D4-D9 unless varied or discharged by the Court and will come into effect on that date provided service set out in Schedule 3 paragraphs 1(i) and (iii) have been completed even if the steps set out in Schedule 3 paragraphs 1(ii) and (iv) have not been completed but the Claimant must complete those steps (set out in paragraphs

1(ii) and (iv)) by 4 pm on 19 January 2026.

(e) Schedule 3(1) of the Injunction order provides for service as follows:

(i) Maintaining signs informing people of this Order and the area in which it has effect in prominent locations and particularly at its boundaries on major roads (in general accordance with the plan attached herewith) and other areas where Prohibited Activities have been prevalent, namely:

- The A10;
- Enfield Retail Park EN1 1TH;
- The A406;
- Ravenside Retail Park N18 3HA;
- Tesco Car Park in Glover Drive N18 3HF;
- Millmarsh Lane EN3;
- Riverwalk Road Business Park EN3 7QN;
- Colosseum Business Park EN1 3FD;
- ASDA in Edmonton;
- Morrisons, Southbury Road; and
- Southbury Leisure Centre

(ii) Ensuring that the details of the Injunction and power of arrest are clearly publicised in a newspaper(s) circulating in the Claimant's area;

(iii) Posting a copy of the Order and power of arrest, together with the Claim Form, Application Notice and supporting documents relied on, on its website, and publicising them using the Claimant's Facebook page and X account, and requesting that local police publicise them via their Facebook and X accounts.

(iv) Taking all reasonable steps to secure the publication of the Order and power of arrest, and/or information about where they and the documents referred to at (iii) above can be obtained, on other relevant social media sites and in motoring and other publications likely to be read by some of the participants including:

- (a) motorheadz.uk;
- (b) Cruise-Herts Facebook and Instagram pages;
- (c) Herts Car Society Facebook page;
- (d) Herts BMW Owner Club Facebook page;

(e) Royal Herts Statics Facebook page;

(f) Static Takeover Facebook page;

and/or in any other like manner as appears to the Claimant to be likely to bring the proceedings and this Order to the attention of persons likely to be affected by it.

6. Following the hearing the Draft Order was provided the Court on 7 December 2025 with attached map and sealed Order had not been received and thus I sent a chaser to the court clerk on 17 December 2025. However, no response was received until 22 December 2025 when a sealed copy was sent to my email inbox.
7. At this time I was on annual leave for the Christmas holiday period during which period I had been notified that my mother was at end of life and as such did not in fact pick up the Sealed Order until 7 January 2026 upon my return to work. I duly arranged to post the Sealed Order on the council's website (Schedule 3(1)(iii)) and I refer to my **Witness Statement BK7 dated 9 January 2026** which confirms the same.
8. However, at this time due to concurrently managing matters concerning my mother's dementia illness at end of life stage who thereafter passed away on 2 February 2026 and staff shortages and excessive workload I unfortunately omitted to serve D4-D9 with the Sealed Injunction Order as required pursuant to paragraph 6 of the Order of 5 December 2025. I have rectified the omission as soon as it came to my attention on 21 April 2026 as well as some administrative errors and I refer to my **Witness Statement BK8 dated 21 April 2026** in this respect.
9. In the interim I had liaised with the client department managing the injunction provision for service to ensure that service was effected pursuant to the requirements of Schedule 3(1) and sought evidence of the same and outline below the service arising thereto.
10. With regard to the further service provisions outlined at Schedule 3(1) of the Injunction Order have also unfortunately been addressed late due to excessive workloads and staff shortages as follows:
 - (a) Schedule 3(1)(i) Signage in prominent locations required by 19 December 2025 but renewed and updated by 16 April 2026.

(b) Schedule 3(1)(ii) publicised in newspapers circulating in claimant's area by 19 January 2026 but effected on 29 April 2026. With regard this element it should be noted that the following newspapers/news channel and resident association did report on the renewal of the injunction as follows:

- Enfield Despatch – 20 January 2026
- The Standard – 20 January 2026
- BBC News – 20 January 2026
- Southbury Residents Association – 19 December 2025

(c) Schedule 3(1)(iii) publicising on Claimant's Facebook, X account and requesting police do the same on their Facebook, X accounts required by 19 December 2026 and effected on 29 January 2026 and in ongoing police feed notifications respectively.

(d) Schedule 3(1)(iv) publication on other relevant social media sites required by 19 January 2026 and effected on 29 January 2026.

I refer to the **Witness Statement of Martin Rattigan dated 29 April 2026** which confirms the further service provisions at Schedule 3(1).

RELIEF FROM SANCTIONS CONSIDERATIONS

11. I understand that in exercising its discretion concerning this application the court is required to consider the three stage test on relief from sanctions under ***Denton v TH White Ltd [2014] EWCA Civ 906*** and the requirements under r.3,9.

12. I set out the relevant facts relied upon below.

Serious or Significant Breach

13. I accept on behalf of the Claimant's its failure to serve the documents as required by the Order of 5 December 2026 is a serious breach.

14. I would contend that it is lower down on the scale of seriousness as there has been no prejudice to the Respondents and no action has been taken in relation to them as of writing to enforce the Order. Car cruising continues to be a recurring problem and as recently as the weekend of 25-26 April 2026 the police notified myself 3 individuals had been arrested but had to be released. It remains the position the Claimant requires the Order to be effective to address the ongoing concerns in its area regarding car cruising. Accordingly, in the circumstances, it would be prejudicial to the Claimant where relief would be refused.

Reason for Breach

15. I accept that my personal circumstances relating mother's ill-health and passing do not amount to a good reason, though I would invite the court to consider this as a further factor when considering all the circumstances. The situation has also unfortunately been compounded by staff shortages and excessive workloads across the council.

All the Circumstances

16. I highlight the following facts which are likely to be relevant when the court considers all the circumstances surrounding whether or not to grant relief:
17. The Order remains to be enforced and for reasons of efficiency it would be proportionate to enable this to continue. No enforcement action has been taken against any of the Respondents since it was granted on 5 December 2025;
18. The Claimant would be significantly prejudiced if it could not enforce the injunction Order and abate nuisance and anti-social behaviour with risk to its public arising due to prevalent car cruising in its area which remains an ongoing issue.
19. This is not a case where the Claimant has sought to bury its head in the sand or ignore the risk to the Order for its failure to effect service as required. As set out in herein and the Witness Statements of Balbinder Kaur and Martin Rattigan the omission of service have been rectified as soon as it became apparent to the Claimant and its officers.
20. My own personal circumstances, staff shortages and excessive workloads across the council have contributed the omission.
21. The Claimant, as a local authority, is under a tremendous amount of pressure with the volume of claims it faces. Unlike a private law firm, the Claimant solicitors cannot choose to not take on any more work if they do not have capacity;
22. The Respondents' have not been prejudiced due to the omissions which have been rectified.
23. Allowing the Claimant to maintain its injunction is in the interests of the overriding objective.

Conclusion

24. I therefore respectfully invite the court to exercise its discretion and grant the Claimant relief from sanctions of its omission to serve the documents and to duly extend time for service as effected by the Claimant as outlined herein confirm service has been sufficiently effected in compliance with the Order to facilitate enforcement of the Order.

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

Signed: B Kaur
Name: Balbinder Kaur
Dated this 29 April 2026

1. Made on behalf of the Claimant
2. Balbinder Kaur
3. Statement No. 9
4. Exhibits: BK6
5. Dated: 29 April 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT THE ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE
KINGS BENCH**

CASE NO: KB-2024-003851

**IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER
S.222 LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 AND
S.130 OF THE HIGHWAYS ACT 1980**

BETWEEN:

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

CLAIMANT

- and -

10. Persons Unknown who participates between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 at which some of those present engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
11. Persons unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
12. Persons Unknown promoting organising publicising (by any means whatsoever) any gathering between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving within London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1.
13. ALIN HUSSEIN (D4)
14. MUHAMMAD AKSAHIN (D5)
15. SAM HOUGH (D6)
16. SERGIU BOGDAN (D7)
17. ALEXANDRU PIRTOACA (D8)
18. KLODJAN GURI (D9)

DEFENDANTS

EXHBIT BK6

Section 5
Witness Statement – Martin Rattigan – 29 April 2025
Index

		Page(s)
1	1.4 Witness Statement-Martin Rattigan-MR6-29.4.26 (Final)	33 - 36

1. Made on behalf of the Claimant
2. Martin Rattigan
3. Statement No. 6
4. Exhibits: MR6/1-41
5. Dated: 29 April 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT THE ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE
KINGS BENCH**

CASE NO: KB-2024-003851

**IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER
S.222 LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 AND
S.130 OF THE HIGHWAYS ACT 1980**

BETWEEN:

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

CLAIMANT

- and -

1. Persons Unknown who participates between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map **Exhibit MR1/1** at which some of those present engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
2. Persons unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, **Map Exhibit MR1/1** with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
3. Persons Unknown promoting organising publicising (by any means whatsoever) any gathering between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving within London Borough of Enfield, **Map Exhibit MR1/1**.
4. ALIN HUSSEIN (D4)
5. MUHAMMAD AKSAHIN (D5)
6. SAM HOUGH (D6)
7. SERGIU BOGDAN (D7)
8. ALEXANDRU PIRTOACA (D8)
9. KLODJAN GURI (D9)

DEFENDANTS

**WITNESS STATEMENT
MARTIN RATTIGAN**

I, **MARTIN RATTIGAN** of the London Borough of Enfield, Civic Centre, Silver Street, Enfield, EN1 3XA **WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS:**

1. I make this Witness Statement in support of the Claimant's Part 8 Claim seeking injunctive relief and the Injunction Order dated 5 December 2025 against the Defendants.
2. I am the Regulation and Compliance Programme Director. Previously, the Head of Regulatory Services at the Council of the London Borough of Enfield ('the Council') since August 2022. Prior to that I was the Head of Environmental Protection and have worked in Regulation for over 30 years at the Council. My role captures managing various front-line services including the Anti-Social Behaviour Team whose remit to ensure public safety is maintained within the London Borough of Enfield ('**Enfield**' or '**the Borough**', when referred to geographically).
3. I confirm that the Injunction Order dated 5 December 2025, on the yearly review and related papers have been available on the London Borough of Enfield's website since approximately 14:16:31 on 7 January 2026 and has been accessible since that time, as outlined in the witness statements of Balbinder Kaur dated 9 January 2026 and 21 April 2026 on the following link:
<https://www.enfield.gov.uk/services/your-council/injunction-order-car-cruising>
4. I confirm that the notice of the Injunction Order dated 5 December 2025 pursuant to Schedule 3 paragraphs (iii) and (iv) was posted on the 29 January 2026 on the London Borough of Enfield's social media accounts, namely, X (formerly Twitter), Facebook and its News and Events Feed and have tagged the car meets organisations/groups. Some of the organisations/groups do not have their own social media pages and we have listed their names on the council's Facebook post.
<https://x.com/EnfieldCouncil/status/2016919803831738836>
<https://www.facebook.com/EnfieldCouncilUK/posts/pfbid0TpkWiqQAGxDixnkfSnGNr3ZHf9CJN3V3aMBHDzPRf7rbN72qZughfR5p2drPZ6JsI>
<https://orlo.uk/Sk3zF>
[#SaferEnfield](https://orlo.uk/OesBQ)
[Motorheadz Uk](#)
[Cruise-Herts](#)
[Dropped Collective](#)
https://orlo.uk/Herts_BMW_Owners_Club_l4nff

5. The signage of notices of the injunction order of 6 December 2024 were confirmed to have remained displayed in prominent locations across the London Borough of Enfield from 5 December 2025 to 16 April 2026 and were replaced on the 16 April 2026 pursuant to the Order of 5 December 2025 at the locations listed below. The new signage was photographed in situ on 16 April 2026 (**Exhibit MR6/1-21**):

- The A10;
- Enfield Retail Park EN1 1TH;
- The A406;
- Ravenside Retail Park N18 3HA;
- Tesco Car Park in Glover Drive N18 3HF;
- Millmarsh Lane EN3;
- Riverwalk Road Business Park EN3 7QN;
- Colosseum Business Park EN1 3FD;
- ASDA in Edmonton;
- Morrisons, Southbury Road; and
- Southbury Leisure Centre

Additional warning signs to inform drivers about the injunction have also been erected at boundaries on major roads and particularly where car cruising has been prevalent at locations 31 March 2026. I refer to Exhibit **MR6/22-31** which evidences notices posted.

6. I confirm the Notice of the Injunction Order dated 5 December 2025 was publicized in Local newspapers circulating in borough of Enfield on 29 April 2026 as follows:

- Enfield Dispatch – April 2026 edition

Further, I can confirm the renewal of the injunction appeared as new items in that the following newspapers/ news channel and resident association as follows:

- Enfield Despatch – 20 January 2026
- The Standard – 20 January 2026
- BBC News – 20 January 2026
- Southbury Residents Association – 19 December 2025

I refer to **Exhibit MR6/32-39**

And as such I confirm the renewal of the injunction was publicised broadly across its borough and London widely. Further, arrangements to publish the notice in the *Avrupa* and *Parikiaki* (leading Greek and Cypriot newspaper) have also been made.

7. With regard requesting police publicising the renewal of the injunction I confirm that the Metropolitan Police Safer Neighbourhood Team social media accounts were active during the relevant period and were used to communicate enforcement information to

the public. Combined with the judicial confirmation that the injunction was published via social media. I refer to **exhibit MR6/40-41** which evidences this with timeline of relevant dates as:

- 4 December 2024: Initial injunction granted by High Court.
- 20 December 2025: Updated injunction comes into force.
- February–April 2026: Active police SNT social media postings.
- 6 March 2026: Court of Appeal confirms social media publication requirement

8. The delays in addressing service have arisen due to acute staff shortages and excessive workload and I respectfully apologise to the court for the delays which have arisen in effecting service and no disrespect was intended to the court in this regard.

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.



Sign

Name: Martin Rattigan

Dated this 29 April 2026

Section 6
Order HH Mrs Justice Heather Williams DBE— 3 June 2026
Index

		Page(s)
1	1.5 KB-2024-003851-Order 3 June 2026	38 - 40

IN THE HIGH COURT AT THE ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE
KINGS BENCH

CASE NO: KB-2024-003851

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER
S.222 LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 AND
S.130 OF THE HIGHWAYS ACT 1980

BETWEEN:

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

- and -

1. Persons Unknown who participates between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 at which some of those present engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
2. Persons unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1 with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.
3. Persons Unknown promoting organising publicising (by any means whatsoever) any gathering between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving within London Borough of Enfield, Map Exhibit MR1/1.
4. ALIN HUSSEIN (D4)
5. MUHAMMAD AKSAHIN (D5)
6. SAM HOUGH (D6)
7. SERGIU BOGDAN (D7)
8. ALEXANDRU PIRTOACA (D8)
9. KLODJAN GURI (D9)

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Before Mrs Justice Heather Williams DBE

UPON the injunction order of Robert Palmer KC, sitting as a Deputy Judge of the High Court, dated 5 December 2025 (and sealed on 22 December 2025)

AND UPON the Claimant's Application Notice dated 30 April 2026 seeking relief from sanctions in relation to the failure to comply with the provisions for alternative service of the



order and power of arrest contained in para 6 and 9 of the 22 December 2025 order

AND UPON the Court considering the Claimant's supporting witness evidence in the form of statements from Balbinder Kaur, Principal Lawyer in the Claimant's Legal Services Department (dated 7 January and 21 April 2026) and from Martin Rattigan, the Claimant's Regulation and Compliance Programme Director (dated 29 April 2026)

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The Claimant be granted relief from sanctions as requested by its Application of 30 April 2026.
- (2) Service at paragraph 6 and 9 of the order of Robert Palmer KC of 5 December 2025 be extended as follows:
 - (i) Service on the Fourth to Ninth Defendants to 29 April 2026.
 - (ii) Alternative Service as set out in Schedule 3(1) on First to Third Defendants be extended as follows:
 - (a) Schedule 3(1)(i) to 16 April 2026
 - (b) Schedule 3(1)(ii) to 29 April 2026
 - (c) Schedule 3(1)(iii) to 7 January 2026 regarding placing the order and accompanying documents on its website; and to 29 January 2026 in relation to publicising it on its Facebook page and X account.
 - (d) Schedule 3(1)(iv) to 29 January 2026.
- (3) Having reviewed the Witness Statements of Balbinder Kaur of 7 January and 21 and 29 April 2026 and that of Martin Rattigan of 29 April 2026 it is accepted that service has been duly effected as set out at paragraph 2 above.
- (4) No order as to costs.

REASONS:

1. There were serious breaches of paragraphs 6 and 9 of the Order of 5 December 2025. The prescribed form of alternative service on the Fourth to Ninth Defendants should have been undertaken by 11.59pm on 19 December 2025, instead service took place on 29 April 2026. The prescribed forms of alternative service on the First to Third Defendants should also have occurred by 11.59pm on 19 December 2025 and instead took place on the dates given in paragraph 2 above.
2. The explanations provided by the Claimant relate to acute staff shortages and, in terms of service on the Fourth to Ninth Defendants by Mr Kaur, personal difficulties. Given the lengthy period before service occurred (save for placing the order on the Claimant's website on 7 January 2026), I would have expected to see a fuller explanation in relation to these delays, particularly as to why the other forms of service concerning the First – Third Defendants did not occur until April of this year and as to why an application for an extension of time, if needed, was not made to this Court at an earlier stage. I emphasise that it is very important that orders made by the Court

are taken seriously and are adhered to.

3. Nonetheless, I am just persuaded to grant the Claimant's application given there were plainly good grounds for making the injunction orders in the first place and no prejudice has been sustained or will be sustained by any of the Defendants as no enforcement action has been taken by the Claimant to date.

Dated 2 June 2026

Section 7
Application for Contempt of Court N600 - 3 August 2026
Index

		Page(s)
1	2. N600_1024 - Application for Contempt 3.8.26 (D10 -Nojus SARTAUSKAS) - Signed	42 - 52

Contempt application

(other than an application under Part 8) CPR 81.3 and 81.4

Name of court

HIGH COURT - KINGS BENCH DIVISION

Claim no.

KB-2024-003851

Claimant's name (including reference)

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD
(LS/C/BK/168934

Defendant's name (including reference)

NOJUS SARTAUŠKAS

Date

Day

03

Month

08

Year

2026

1. What is your name or, if you are a legal representative, the name of your firm?

Balbinder Kaur

2. Are you a

☐

Claimant

☒

Legal representative of the claimant

3. Who should be served with this application?

First name(s)

NOJUS

Last name

SARTAUSKAS

4. Please give the service address of any party named in question 3

Building and street

Second line of address

Town or city

County (optional)

Postcode

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

The written evidence of the claimant in support of this application, in the form of an affidavit or affirmation, is attached to this application

If permission is required to make this application, the application for permission (headed 'Application for permission') must be included in this application

To the defendant

If upon determination of this application you are held to be in contempt of court you may be imprisoned or fined, or your assets may be seized

Your rights

You have the right to be legally represented in the contempt proceedings.

You are entitled to a reasonable opportunity to obtain legal representation and to apply for legal aid which may be available without any means test.

You may be entitled to the services of an interpreter.

You are entitled to a reasonable time to prepare for the hearing of the contempt application against you.

You are entitled but not obliged to give written and oral evidence in your defence.

You have the right to remain silent and may not be compelled to answer any question the answer to which may incriminate you, but the court may draw adverse inferences if this right is exercised.

If you do not attend the hearing, the court may proceed in your absence. Whether or not you attend, the court will only find you in contempt if satisfied beyond reasonable doubt of the facts constituting contempt and that they do constitute contempt.

If the court is satisfied that you have committed a contempt, the court may punish you by a fine, imprisonment, confiscation of assets or other punishment permitted under the law.

If you admit the contempt and wish to apologise to the court, that is likely to reduce the seriousness of any punishment by the court.

The court's findings will be provided in writing as soon as practicable after the hearing.

The court will sit in public, unless and to the extent that the court orders otherwise. Its findings will be made public.

The following information is required to be included in the application pursuant to rule 81.4(2) of the Civil Procedure Rules 1998.

5. Nature of the contempt (for example, breach of an order or undertaking or contempt in the face of the court)

BREACH OF CAR CRUISING INJUNCTION WITH PENAL NOTICE OF 5 DECEMBER 2025 SEALED ON 22 DECEMBER 2025 ON 1 AUGUST 2026 BETWEEN 21.55HRS AND 22.15HRS.

6. Is the application based on breach of an order?

☒ Yes. **Go to question 7**

☐ No. **Go to question 10**

7. Date and terms of the order allegedly breached or disobeyed ('the order'). (If there is more than one such order, set out the date and terms of each order.)

CAR CRUISING INJUNCTION DATED 5 DECEMBER 2025
SEALED ON 22 DECEMBER 2025
BREACH OF SCHEDULE 2 PARAGRAPH 3:

A person participates in a Prohibited Activity whether or not he or she is the driver of, or passenger in, a Motor-Vehicle (as defined in paragraph 4 below), if he or she is present and performs or encourages any other person to perform any activity, to which paras.1-2 above apply, and the term "participating in a Prohibited Activity" shall be interpreted accordingly.

Prohibited Activity (Schedule 2 paragraph 1 and 2)

8. Date of personal service of the order

Day

Month

Year

If the order was not personally served, state the date and terms of any order dispensing with personal service of the order.

ORDER WAS SERVED AS EVIDENCED IN WITNESS
STATEMENTS OF:

BALBINDER KAUR - 09.01.2026, 21.04.26 & 29.04.26

MARTIN RATTIGAN - 29.04.2026

9. Did the order include a penal notice?

☒ Yes

☐ No

10. Date and the terms of any undertaking allegedly breached ('the undertaking'). (If there is more than one such undertaking set out the date and terms of each undertaking.)

11. Does the claimant, believe that the person who gave the undertaking understood its terms and the consequences of failure to comply with it?

☐ Yes

☐ No

12. Summary of facts alleged to constitute the contempt (set these out very briefly, in chronological order, in numbered points)

SEE WITNESS STATMENT OF Ashley DAWES-BEILBY dated 2 August 2026. In summary:

1. On SATURDAY 1ST AUGUST 2025 ... At approximately 2155hours I was contacted over the radio by my colleagues on LOCKFIELD AVENUE asking for our attendance due to the presence of a WHITE BWM that had entered the road displaying no number plates alongside other vehicles. The WHITE BWM in question had then started doing wheel spins and 'doughnuts' at the northern most point of LOCKFIELD AVENUE and was driving in a dangerous and antisocial manner.
2. As I exited the marked police vehicle I said to the male 'HELLO SIR, THE REASON WE ARE STOPPING YOU THIS EVENING IS A BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE A NUMBER PLATE ON THE FRONT AND BECAUSE YOU HAVE JUST BEEN WATCHED BY UNMARKED POLICE OFFICERS DOING BURN OUTS IN YOURE VEHICLE'.
3. This male I now know to be: Nojus SARTAUSKAS date of birth 21/08/2001 of 1 ALVESTON AVENUE, HARROW, HA3 8TG.
4. I then asked SARTAUSKAS if he had the vehicles numberplate and a licence and insurance in which he passed me his FULL UK DRIVING LICENCE. I asked SARTAUSKAS if there was any reason in which he had taken his number plates off to which he replied 'ERM NOT REALLY I DUNNO. I MEAN YOU GUYS KNOW THE DEAL ANYWAY MAN, SO'. I then asked SARTAUSKAS where his number plates were to which he opened the rear passenger door producing both number plates. The vehicles' identity was P55 SSK, a WHITE BMW M135I AUTO, registered to SARTAUSKAS.
5. The driver of the WHITE BMW M135I AUTO registration P55 SSK was arrested at 22.15HRS in breach of the Applicant's Car Cruising Injunction of 5 December 2025.
6. Please also see statements of PC Kayleigh SOUSTER (1 August 2026); PCS Edward BAKER-CLOSE (2 August 2026); and PC Alfio Arcidiacono (2 August 2026).

SEE CONTINUATION SHEET

N600 CONTEMPT APPLICATION KB-2024-003851
NOJUS SARTAUSKAS (3 AUGUST 2026) - CONTINUATION SHEET

1. The Defendant is duly advised that:
 - (i) he has the right to be legally represented in the contempt proceedings;
 - (ii) he is entitled to a reasonable opportunity to obtain legal representation and to apply for legal aid which may be available without any means test;
 - (iii) he may be entitled to the services of an interpreter;
 - (iv) he is entitled to a reasonable time to prepare for the hearing;
 - (v) he is entitled but not obliged to give written and oral evidence in their defence;
 - (vi) he has the right to remain silent and to decline to answer any question the answer to which may incriminate the defendant, but that the court may draw adverse inferences if this right is exercised;
 - (vii) the court may proceed in the defendant's absence if they do not attend but (whether or not they attend) will only find the defendant in contempt if satisfied beyond reasonable doubt of the facts constituting contempt and that they do constitute contempt;
 - (viii) if the court is satisfied that the defendant has committed a contempt, the court may punish the defendant by a fine, imprisonment, confiscation of assets or other punishment under the law;
 - (ix) if the defendant admits the contempt and wishes to apologise to the court, that is likely to reduce the seriousness of any punishment by the court;
 - (x) the court's findings will be provided in writing as soon as practicable after the hearing; and
 - (xi) the court will sit in public, unless and to the extent that the court orders otherwise, and that its findings will be made public.

Statement of truth

I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

☐ **I believe** that the facts stated in this contempt application are true.

☒ **The claimant believes** that the facts stated in this contempt application are true.

Signature for the statement of truth

B Kaur

☐ Claimant

☐ Litigation friend

☒ Claimant's legal representative

Date

Day

03

Month

08

Year

2026

Full name

Balbinder kaur

Name of claimant's legal representative's firm

Legal services, London Borough of Enfield

Position or office held (if signing on behalf of a company)

Principal Lawyer

Signature for the Contempt application

BKaur

- ☐ Claimant
- ☐ Litigation friend
- ☒ Claimant's legal representative

Date

Day	Month	Year
03	08	2026

Full name

Balbinder Kaur

Name of claimant's legal representative's firm

Legla Services, London Borough of Enfield

Position or office held (if signing on behalf of a company)

Assistant Principal Lawyer

Claimant's address to which documents about this application should be sent

Building and street

Legal Services

Second line of address

Civic Centre Silver Street

Town or city

Enfield

County (optional)

Postcode

E	N	3	1	X	A	
---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Phone number

020 8132 0091

Email address

Balbinder.kaur@enfield.gov.uk

Fax number

DX number

Reference number

LS/C/BK/168934

Court fee

What you need to pay

The court fee for this application is **£303**

How to pay the court fee

- ☐ I attached a **Cheque/Postal order**, made payable to 'HMCTS'
- ☐ **A debit/credit card payment**

- ☐ I will pay over the phone, please call me on the number below

- ☐ please email me details on how to pay, my email address is

- ☐ **Prepayment** – If you have already made a payment please note the reference number here

Your reference

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

- ☐ **In person at** the court/office
- ☐ **I have not included payment because**

- ☐ I have applied for Help with Fees online and my reference number is

H	W	F						
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

- ☐ I am applying for Help with Fees, see attached form **EX160**
- ☐ Other – please explain why

- ☒ **Payment by account** – for use by legal professionals

Your account number

P	B	A	0	0	7	9	0	0	6
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Your reference (if applicable)

What if I cannot afford to pay a court fee?

You may not have to pay a fee, or you may get some money off it if you only have a small amount of savings and investments, receive certain benefits or are on a low income. This is sometimes known as 'fee remission.'

You can apply for help with court and tribunal fees online at www.gov.uk/help-with-court-fees or through the 'EX160 Apply for help with fees' form and 'EX160A – How to apply for help with fees' guidance.

Fee account – a way for solicitors, local authorities and other regular users to make payments relating to civil and family cases.

Section 8
Witness statements of Ashley DAWES-BEILBY - 2 August 2026
Index

		Page(s)
1	2.1 PC DAWES-BEILBY STATEMENT SARTASKAS HIGH COURT INJUNCTION	54 - 58

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

URN 01 YD 5798 26

Statement of: Ashley DAWES-BEILBY

Age if under 18: OVER 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Police Constable P241111

This statement (consisting of 5 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature:  Date: 02/08/2026

I was wearing my personal issued body worn video camera (BWV) throughout this incident in which I have view prior to writing this statement. The footage from which I exhibit as ADB/01.

On SATURDAY 1ST AUGUST 2025 I was on duty in uniform working alongside PS TRENT 368NA and PCSO's 7260NA ARCIDIACONO, 7204NA SOUTHERLAND and 7156NA CHANDLER-FERN in a marked police vehicle as callsign NA91L, working as part of OP COAL, an operation targeting illegal car meets in ENFIELD.

My colleague's PC's BORRETT 1745NA and BAKER-CLOSE 2521NA were posted in an unmarked vehicle parked on LOCKFIELD AVENUE, ENFIELD, a place in which has seen excessively large volumes and reports of anti-social behaviour relating to motor-vehicle nuisance including burn-outs, doughnuts and racing along the stretch of industrial estate.

Earlier during the day, I had taken my colleagues 7260NA ARCIDIACONO and 7204NA SOUTHERLAND to LOCKFIELD AVENUE for posters detailing that no car cruising was permitted in the LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD. These posters highlight the fact that there is currently an interim injunction order in place, with a power of arrest attached to it. It also details the prohibited activity detailed in the injunction and states that if persons do not comply with the order that persons could be held in contempt of court and imprisoned, fined or have assets seized. The posters also show the dates and times in which the injunction is in order from and on the rear, includes details around Section 59 of the Police Reform Act as well as a map of the London Borough of Enfield.

I am aware that as of 1200am on 20TH DECEMBER 2025 an injunction from the High Court came into effect covering the London Borough of Enfield, which prohibits excessively noisy vehicles, racing against other vehicles, driving in a manner that would cause danger to other road users, risks damage to other property, driving at excessive speed, driving in a manner that would cause nuisance, driving in convoy.

At approximately 2155hours I was contacted over the radio by my colleagues on LOCKFIELD AVENUE asking for our attendance due to the presence of a WHITE BMW that had entered the road displaying no number plates alongside other vehicles. The WHITE BMW in question had then started doing wheel spins and 'doughnuts' at the northern most point of LOCKFIELD AVENUE and was driving in a dangerous and antisocial manner.

Witness Signature:  26/08/2026

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

Page 1 of 5

Continuation of Statement of: Ashley DAWES-BEILBY P241111

PS TRENT, who was driving the marked police mini-bus in which I was the front seat passenger in, made his way on blue lights to LOCKFIELD AVENUE where my BWV was activated as we approached the area in which it had been described where the WHITE BMW had being witnessed conducting the above mentioned driving.

As we approached the vehicle in question, PC BORRETT said over the radio 'BWM ON THE RIGHT, HATCHBACK' alerting us to the correct vehicle in question in which had been observed conducting the anti-social and dangerous driving. PS TRENT stopped the marked police vehicle in front of the BMW, illuminating the blue lights and the driver of the vehicle

As I exited the marked police vehicle immediately exited. I said to the male 'HELLO SIR, THE REASON WE ARE STOPPING YOU THIS EVENING IS A BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE A NUMBER PLATE ON THE FRONT AND B BECAUSE YOU HAVE JUST BEEN WATCHED BY UNMARKED POLICE OFFICERS DOING BURN OUTS IN YOURE VEHICLE'.

This male I now know to be:

Nojus SARTAUSKAS date of birth 21/08/2001 of 1 ALVESTON AVENUE, HARROW, HA3 8TG.

I then asked SARTAUSKAS if he had the vehicles numberplate and a licence and insurance in which he passed me his FULL UK DRIVING LICENCE. I asked SARTAUSKAS if there was any reason in which he had taken his number plates off to which he replied 'ERM NOT REALLY I DUNNO. I MEAN YOU GUYS KNOW THE DEAL ANYWAY MAN, SO'.

I then asked SARTAUSKAS where his number plates were to which he opened the rear passenger door producing both number plates. The vehicles' identity was P55 SSK, a WHITE BMW M135I AUTO, registered to SARTAUSKAS.

I then informed SARTAUSKAS that the vehicle will be seized under S59 of the Police Reform Act, informing him that there is a new legislation in place under S59 in which now empowers police to seize a vehicle on the spot for anti-social driving and dangerous and/or inconsiderate driving in which he had been witnessed doing informing him that the new seize on the spot part had come into force on the 29th June 2026.

SARTAUSKAS was also informed that there was a possibility that he would be arrested for the injunction that was in place, also informing the other people present with him that they were also liable for arrest due to the details within the injunction, referring to two (2) or more people, two (2) or more vehicles and relating to the stunt in which he had been observed doing. This was conditional on the basis of speaking to my colleagues who had witnessed the activity.

SARTAUSKAS was asked for his vehicle keys in which he gave to me and I then went to conduct checks on the vehicle including insurance, MOT and TAX, alongside SARTAUSKAS himself.

As I was conducting these checks, the control room circulated a call at LOCKFIELD AVENUE in which a member of the public had called in regarding anti-social behaviour relating to three (3) cars making a lot of noise while driving around. These three (3) vehicles

Witness Signature: 

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

Continuation of Statement of: Ashley DAWES-BEILBY P241111

would have been the vehicle in which SARTAUSKAS was driving, and the two (2) other vehicles present in which my colleagues were dealing with. I assigned the call to my callsign and informed the control room that we had the vehicles stopped.

All the checks were completed satisfactorily and during completing these checks, it was confirmed and clarified to me by PS TRENT that SARTAUSKAS had been witnessed by the plain clothed officers in an unmarked vehicle to have conducted doughnuts and wheel-spins. Due to the other factors present, that being two or people and two or more vehicles, within the LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD, between the hours of 1500hours and 0700hours, SARTAUSKAS had engaged in motor stunts in contravention of the High Court Injunction.

The London Borough of Enfield has a current High Court Injunction in place under The Local Government Act 1972, and the Highways Act of 1972. This order started on 20th December 2025 at 1200hours and is in place for a year, running until 2359hours on 20th December 2026. The High Court Injunction clearly states the following:

Persons Unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield which some of those present engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.

2. Persons unknown who participate between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am in a gathering of 2 or more persons within the London Borough of Enfield with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving.

3. Persons Unknown promoting, organising and/or publicising (by any means whatsoever) any gathering between the hours of 3:00pm and 7:00am of 2 or more persons with the intention or expectation that some of those present will engage in motor racing or motor stunts or other dangerous or obstructive driving within London Borough of Enfield.

The Injunction, from my own viewing and knowledge has been clearly sign posted in Enfield Retail park, LOCKFIELD AVENUE and FORTY HALL along with other prominent locations across Enfield where-by car meets take place. The injunction was also advertised online via Enfield council.

The injunction strictly prohibits certain actions, including

- (i) excessive noise;
- (ii) danger to other road users (including pedestrians);
- (iii) damage or the risk of damage to private property;
- (iv) any nuisance to another person not participating in the Car-Cruise.

Witness Signature:

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

Continuation of Statement of: Ashley DAWES-BEILBY P241111

And the said activities, are:

- (i) driving at excessive speed, or otherwise dangerously;
- (ii) driving in convoy;
- (iii) racing against other motor vehicles;
- (iv) performing stunts in motor vehicles;
- (v) sounding horns or playing amplified music;
- (vi) revving engines;
- (vii) obstructing any other road-user.

The manner in which SARTAUSKAS was driving is a clear breach of a number of the above conditions imposed by the Injunction, namely; causing danger to other road users by virtue driving dangerously, danger to other road users, danger to pedestrians, any nuisance to another person not participating in the Car-Cruise and damage or the risk of damage to private property with the number of business premises and privately owned vehicles at the location.

Due to the above, at 2215hours I arrested SARTAUSKAS for a breach of the High Court Injunction, explaining that as I had already explained to him, his actions re the manner of his driving in which he had been observed doing, the location, number of persons and vehicles present constituted a breach of said injunction.

SARTAUSKAS was fully cautioned and I explained that the reason for his arrest was to put him before a High Court Judge to answer for his actions.

SARTAUSKAS was searched under Section 32 PACE 1984 for any items that could hurt me, himself or any other person and for any items in which could aid in his escape from lawful custody.

As we were waiting for a caged van to relay SARTAUSKAS to custody, we were engaging in a conversation relating to the next steps such as the custody procedure and rights whilst in custody. SARTAUSKAS partner made a comment around him being 'such a softy' in which I replied, 'APART FROM BURNING HIS TRYES OUT' in which SARTAUSKAS laughed and stated, 'I KNOW'.

I explained that I could smell the burning rubber as we approached from the top of the road. I asked SARTAUSKAS 'DOES IT NOT DO YOURE HEAD IN LIKE HAVING TO REPLACE YOURE TYRES ALL THE TIME? To which he replied, 'ITS PART OF THE FUN INIT'. This is captured on BWV timed at 22:24:51hours to 22:25:00hours.

As the conversation continued, I also pointed out a poster relating to the car-cruising injunction in which was placed on a lamppost earlier in the day by my colleagues. I walked

Witness Signature: 

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

Page 4 of 5




Continuation of Statement of: Ashley DAWES-BEILBY P241111

over to the poster with SARTAUSKAS and began reading parts of it out aloud for him and other persons present to hear. The poster was situated right at the side of the carriageway in which SARTAUSKAS had completed his stunts and was parallel to a second white BMW in which was present, again with its number plates removed.

SARTAUSKAS was then relayed to WOOD GREEN CUSTDOY CENTRE, arriving at 2302hours where his detention was authorised by the custody sergeant.

Witness Signature: 

Signature Witnessed by Signature:.....



Section 9
Witness Statement of PC Kayleigh SOUSTER - 1 August 2026
Index

	Page(s)
1 3. PC SOUSTER WITNESS STATEMENT HIGH COURT INJUNCTION	60

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

URN

Statement of: PC Kayleigh SOUSTER

Age if under 18: Over 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Police Officer

This statement (consisting of 1 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature: *K. Souter* 28/5/26 Date: 01/08/2026

On Saturday 1st August 2026, I was on duty in full uniform taking part in Operation COAL.

During this time, I was aware of the injunction in place within the borough of ENFIELD relating to car meets and vehicle nuisance. I was also aware of the conditions of this injunction, namely cars performing drifting and donuts with two or more cars and or people present.

I was parked in an unmarked vehicle on MILMARSH LANE, adjacent to LOCKFIELD AVENUE. I noticed that four vehicles were travelling in convoy turning left onto LOCKFIELD AVENUE. I informed two colleagues on the radio who were parked at the end of LOCKFIELD AVENUE to be aware of these vehicles. Shortly after this, these colleagues informed me and the other officers taking part in this operation that a WHITE BMW was doing donuts in this location. I made my way towards LOCKFIELD AVENUE to the location of where this was occurring. I witnessed the WHITE BMW doing donuts but could not see any VRM visible from the area where I was observing from. I turned on my body worn video camera to capture this.

A secondary unit (NA91L) arrived at the location in a marked vehicle and pulled up beside the vehicle which was now parked on the curb and went directly over to the driver of this vehicle. One this unit had successfully detained the driver; I made my way towards the scene to deal with any further offences that may have occurred.

I would describe the driver of this vehicle as an IC1 male approximately between 18-25 years old with blonde hair wearing a white t shirt and grey shorts.

Witness Signature: *K. Souter* 28/5/26

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

Page 1 of 1

Section 10
Witness Statements of PCS Edward BAKER-CLOSE - 2 August 2026
Index

	Page(s)
1 4. WITNESS STATEMENT PC BAKER INJUNCTION ARREST	62

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

URN

Statement of: Edward BAKER-CLOSE

Age if under 18: 018 (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Police Constable

This statement (consisting of 1 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature: 2521NA Baker Date: 02/08/2026

This statement consists of the time I witnessed a white BMW I now know to be P55SSK engaging in anti-social driving, via doing burnouts and doughnuts.

On SATURDAY 1ST AUGUST 2026, I was in plain clothes on a shift that started at 1100hrs I was in the company of PC BORRETT. At around 2157hrs I was tasked as a part of Operation Coal to set up in an unmarked police vehicle and observe anti-social driving, this was at Lockfield Avenue EN3.

I was sat inside of an unmarked police vehicle whereby PC SOUSTER alerted me to multiple vehicles entering the dead-end road of Lockfield Avenue EN3. I observed multiple vehicles driving into this dead-end. One specific vehicle a white BMW hatchback was seen doing burnouts and doughnuts at the northern most point of Lockfield Avenue EN3.

A burnout is whereby the driver keeps the vehicle stationary and causes the wheels to spin causing noise, smoke and damage to the road.

A doughnut is whereby the driver spins the vehicle causing the wheels to slide again causing noise, smoke and damage to the road.

Both of these actions demonstrate a disregard for the safety of other people in the location as one wrong move can cause loss of control of the vehicle.

PC BORRETT had put the vehicle description and what the driver was doing over the radio to PS TRENT who was driving a marked police minibus.

PS TRENT arrived on scene to the location and PC DAWES-BEILBY arrested the driver of the white BMW hatchback for breach of the injunction.

PC DAWES-BEILBY then provided me with the true VRM of the white BMW hatchback being P55SSK.

I exhibit footage of this incident as EBC/01, I will explain timestamped what is shown, I have reviewed the footage while writing this. The footage starts at 22:00:54, at 22:01:04 shows a white hatchback BMW doing doughnuts. At 22:01:33 the driver of the white hatchback BMW pulls over to my right hand side of the road parking facing SOUTH on Lockfield Avenue EN3. At 22:01:52 a marked police minibus arrives and activates its emergency warning equipment and officers exit to speak to the driver.

Witness Signature: 2521NA Baker

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

Page 1 of 1

Section 11
Witness Statement of PC Alfio Arcidiacono - 2 August 2026
Index

	Page(s)
1 5. WITNESS STATEMENT PSCO ARCIDIACONO	64

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

URN

Statement of: Alfio Arcidiacono

Age if under 18: (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Police Community Support Officer

This statement (consisting of page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature: Date: 02/08/2026

I am PCSO Alfio Arcidiacono 7260NA, attached to the Metropolitan Police Service. On Saturday 1 August 2026, I was on duty in full uniform between 11:00 and 20:00 hours.

At approximately 18:00 hours, I printed 20 posters containing information regarding the injunction order currently in force across the London Borough of Enfield until 20 December 2026.

Together with PCSO Robert Sutherland 7204NA, I attended four locations identified as car cruising hotspots: Enfield Retail Park, Lockfield Avenue, Forty Hall car park and The Salisbury Arms car park.

We attached five posters to lamp posts at each location. We completed this task at approximately 19:45 hours and then continued conducting mobile patrols in a police vehicle.

The posters i placed at each location are exactly the same and i exhibit the posters as AA/01

Witness Signature:

Signature Witnessed by

Signature:

Section 12
Order of HH Mr Justice Mould – 3 August 2026
Index

	Page(s)
1 6. KB 2024 003851 Sartauskas order 3 8 26	66 - 68

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
KINGS BENCH DIVISION
ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE


CASE NO: KB-2024-003851

BETWEEN:

LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD

- and -

NOJUS SARTAUSKAS



ORDER

KB-2024-003851

BEFORE the Honourable Mr Justice Mould, sitting in the High Court of Justice, Kings Bench Division, Royal Courts of Justice, Strand WC2A 2LL on 3 August 2026.

UPON hearing Counsel, Ms Crocombe, for the Claimant, and the Defendant in person.

AND UPON the Defendant, Nojus SARTAUSKAS, having been arrested on 1 August 2026 for alleged breach of the Injunction Order dated 5 December 2025 (and sealed on 22 December 2025);

AND UPON the Defendant being produced in Court;

AND UPON the Defendant being notified by this Order of his right to be legally represented, to a reasonable opportunity to obtain legal representation and apply for legal aid, to a reasonable time to prepare for the hearing, to remain silent and decline to answer any question which may incriminate him and their entitlement, but not obligation, to give written and oral evidence in his defence.

AND UPON the Defendant requesting time to seek legal advice.

AND UPON the Court's powers under section 27 of and Schedule 10 to the Police and Justice Act 2006.

AND UPON the Defendant consenting to be released on bail for more than 8 days.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The Claimant has permission to rely on the evidence in the form of section 9 witness statements of PC Ashley DAWES-BEILBY signed on 2 August 2026, PC Kayleigh SOUSTER signed on 1 August 2026; PC Edward BAKER-CLOSE signed on 2 August 2026; and PC Alfio Arcidiacono signed on 2 August.
2. The Applicant must file and serve on the Respondent its application for committal and any evidence upon which it seeks to rely by 16:00 hours on Monday 10 August 2026. The Applicant has permission to and must serve the aforementioned documents on the Respondent by email and by first class post.
3. The application to commit is adjourned to **10:30am on Tuesday 25 August 2026** at Royal Courts of Justice, The Strand, London, WC2A 2LL. Time estimate 1 hour.
4. The Defendant shall be released from custody and hereafter is remanded on unconditional bail to appear in person at the hearing at 10:30am on 25 August 2026.
5. Costs in the case.

Communications with the Court

6. All communications to the Court about this Order should be sent to the King's Bench Division of the High Court, the Royal Courts of Justice, The Strand, London WC2A 2LL quoting the case number. The office is open between 9am and 4pm Monday to Friday. The telephone number is 020 7947 6000.

If you do not fully understand this Order you should go to a solicitor, Legal Advice Centre or Citizens' Advice Bureau.

The Defendant is reminded that he should make the necessary arrangements to ensure that he arrives at Court by 9:30am on Tuesday 25 August 2026 so that he is present in good time for the hearing. If the Defendant fails to attend the hearing at 10:30am on Tuesday 25 August 2026, the Court is likely to issue a warrant for his arrest.

Name and Address of Applicant's Solicitor

Legal Services,
The Council of the London Borough of Enfield,
Silver Street,
Enfield EN1 3XA

Telephone: 020 8132 0091

Email: Balbinder.Kaur@Enfield.gov.uk.

Section 13
Draft Remand Order - LB Enfield -v- Sartauskas 3 August 2026
Index

	Page(s)
1 7. 2026.08.03 - Draft Remand Order - LB Enfield -v- Sartauskas	70 - 71

Remand Order

(Bail granted)

In the High Court of Justice King's Bench Division Royal Courts of Justice	
Claim Number	KB-2024-003851
Claimant (including ref.)	London Borough of Enfield
Defendant (including ref.)	Nojus SARTAUSKAS

Seal

BEFORE Mr Justice Mould sitting in the High Court of Justice, King's Bench Division, Birmingham District Registry on 3 August 2026

AND UPON it being alleged that the Defendant has breached an injunction granted on 5 December 2025,

AND UPON the Defendant having been arrested on 3 August 2026.

AND UPON the Claimant having been ordered file and serve its application for committal and evidence by 16:00 on 10 August 2026.

It is ordered that

1. The Defendant be released on unconditional bail.
2. The Defendant attend the next hearing at 10:30am on 25 August 2026 at High Court of Justice, Kings Bench Division, Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, WC2A 2LL.

NOJUS SARTAUŠKAS
1 Alveston Avenue
HA3 8TG

The Court Office is open between 10am and 4pm Monday to Friday. All communications to the Court about this Order should be sent to the King's Bench Division of the High Court, the Royal Courts of Justice, The Strand, London WC2A 2LL quoting the case number. The office is open between 9am and 4pm Monday to Friday. The telephone number is 020 7947 6000.