

STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND (DRAFT)

BETWEEN

ENFIELD COUNCIL

AND

IKEA

In respect of Enfield Council's Edmonton Leeside Area Action Plan (2017) (ELAAP)

This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared to identify areas of agreement and disagreement between Enfield Council and IKEA on matters relating to the Council's Submission Edmonton Leeside Area Action Plan (2017).

Issue (Document Order)	Representation Ref. No
Chapter 5, paragraphs 5.1.3; 5.1.7; 5.4.2 – Council accepts all of these changes proposed;	As per tracked changed document submitted by IKEA (tracked changed document), except where a change to proposed wording is suggested by the Council in the column to the left.
Paragraph 5.4.6 - Council proposes modified change: "At the same time it is anticipated that existing major retail businesses in the area, such as IKEA and Tesco, will continue to operate in the area. Regeneration at Meridian Water should not harm existing established businesses and their operations."	See above
Paragraph 5.4.9 – Council accepts proposed change;	See above

Issue (Document Order)	Representation Ref. No
Policy EL2 additional third bullet- Council proposes modified	See above
change: "growth of existing established businesses,	
enabling them to expand their operations and provide	
additional job opportunities";	
Policy EL2 additional final bullet- Council proposes modified	See above
change: "Development which harms existing established	
businesses and operations without providing sufficient and	
appropriate mitigation will not be permitted, in line with the	
London Plan's Agent of Change principle";	
Policy EL3 - Council proposes modified change to first bullet	See above
"where it does not detrimentally affect the operation of	
existing established businesses. Such uses will be	
primarily";	
Paragraph 5.5.7 - Council proposes modified change: "In	See above
general, unless exceptional business requirements are	
demonstrated to the satisfaction of the council,";	
Paragraph 5.8.1 - Council proposes modified change: "the	See above
future detailed alignment of the Causeway will be defined	
through further technical work";	
Paragraph 5.8.3 - Council proposes modified change: "The	See above
development of the Causeway must be compatible with	
existing established businesses and operations and should	
not harm these".	
Policy EL6 Part A – Council accepts change to first para;	See above
Policy EL6 Part A - Council proposes modified change to	
second para TBC – need to clarify re impacts on our	
requirements;	
Policy EL6 Part A - Council proposes modified change to third	
para "the design of the Causeway should not cause harm to	
existing established businesses and their operation along	
its route".	

Issue (Document Order)	Representation Ref. No
Policy EL6 Part B change to third bullet – Council proposes	See above
modified change: "Ensure active frontages to the Causeway	
on both sides for all new businesses as well as for existing	
businesses where possible";	
Policy EL6 Part B - Council accepts change to fourth bullet;	
Policy EL6 Part B - Council proposes modified change to added	
final bullet "Give consideration and not cause harm to	
existing established businesses and their operations along	
its route";	
Paragraph 5.8.6 – Council proposes modified change: "The	See above
safeguarded route is articulated in four sections. The	
detailed design of the route will come forward as part of the	
masterplan; it will be designed to ensure it does not	
interfere with existing established businesses and their	
operations. The safeguarded route";	
Paragraph 5.8.6 – Council proposes modification to further	
change made to this para: "Cycle lanes will be provided along	
the Causeway. Their design will have to take into account	
their interaction with the access requirements of existing	
established businesses".	
Paragraph 5.8.7– Council proposes modified change: "No	See above
works would be supported which would harm existing	
established businesses and their operations. The profile of	
Glover Drive will be reconfigured to accommodate cycle	
lanes in each direction, generous pavements and	
landscaping. The design of these will take into account	
their interaction with the access requirements of existing	
established businesses. The corridor width in this section	
is indicated at 32m".	

Notes on agreed amendments:

- 1. Bold underlined text indicates an addition to the ELAAP text
- 2. Strikethrough text indicates a deletion to the ELAAP text

Areas of Agreement:

Transport Modelling

Both parties agree that further traffic modelling of both the local and strategic road network and junction capacity is required to support the final quantum of development to be delivered at Meridian Water. The Council acknowledge that the ELAAP goes beyond the Core Strategy transport evidence base which supported the initial 5,000 homes. The ELAAP on page 130-131 in sub-section 11.9 does acknowledge the strain on the already 'near capacity' highway network and junctions and points to detailed modelling being required through detailed development proposals and the forthcoming Meridian Water Masterplan SPD. The later will establish the detailed quantum of land uses to be delivered on a phased basis over the 15-20 year build out period of the project.

The Causeway

Both parties agree to minor amendments in the ELAAP in reference to the Causeway route as proposed to Paragraph 5.8.6 above. This will overcome concerns of overly prescriptive language and acknowledge that the detailed design of the final route will come forward as part of the Meridian Water Masterplan to ensure it does not adversely impact the existing business operations of IKEA.

Signed on behalf of Enfield Council	Signed on behalf of IKEA
Signed	Signed
Neeru Kareer BA (Hons) MSc MRTPI Head of Strategic Planning and Design (<i>interim</i>) Place	Please add name, qualifications and role
Date:	Date: